

GRANT SCORING RUBRIC

Grant applications will be reviewed by an ad-hoc Grants Committee that will evaluate each application on the following criteria:

CRITERIA	EXEMPLARY (3 PTS)	ADEQUATE (2 PTS)	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (1 PT)	DISQUALIFIED (0 POINTS)	SCORE
Agency Capability	Organization has a strong history in delivering health programs and services to the target population and clearly demonstrates the ability and capacity to deliver the proposed program/project.	Organization has some history in delivering health programs to the target populations and outlines the ability and capacity to deliver the proposed program/project.	Organization does not have a history in delivering health programs and services to the target population but demonstrates limited ability and capacity to deliver the proposed program/project.	Agency does not demonstrate the ability or capacity to deliver the proposed program/project	
Alignment with BCHD Health Priorities	Proposal clearly aligns with BCHD mission and health priorities and demonstrates how it can promote health and well-being to District residents.	Proposal aligns with BCHD mission and health priorities but can improve upon how it promotes health and well-being to District residents.	Proposal is aligned with BCHD mission and health priorities but does not clearly make the connection on how it promotes health and well-being to District residents.	Proposal is not aligned with BCHD mission and health priorities	
Meeting Needs in the Community	Proposal clearly articulates the need of the proposed program and ensures how the program is not a duplication of services. The program makes efforts to address gaps and unmet health needs in the community. Proposal clearly describes delivery of health program to vulnerable and underserved populations.	Program components are not a duplication of services. The program makes efforts to address gaps and unmet needs in the community but can be more clear.	Some components of the proposal are unclear and/or may demonstrate a duplication of services.	The program does not seek to meet the needs in the community.	

Program Proposal	Proposal clearly articulates program goals and objectives. Presents a strong argument for how program will impact health outcomes. Contains a detailed outreach plan to reach targeted audience.	Proposal articulates program goals and objectives. Argument for how program will impact health outcomes and outreach plan to reach target audience can be improved.	Proposal describes program goals and objectives but does not clearly present an argument for how program will impact health outcomes and/or has an outreach plan to reach targeted audience.	Program proposal is unclear and does not articulate program goals and objectives	
Impact and Evaluation Plan	Proposal has a thoughtful plan for measuring and evaluating program effectiveness with outcome and process measures. Includes specifics about what data will be collected and how those address the goals and health priorities	Plan for measuring and evaluating program effectiveness is clear. Additional information regarding data specifics may be required.	Plan for measuring and evaluating program effectiveness needs to be improved and clarified.	Proposal contains no plan for measuring and evaluating impact	
Budget Request	Budget is clear and complete and aligned with stated goals. The amount requested is reasonable for the proposed deliverables.	Budget is clear, complete and aligned with most stated goals. The amount requested is reasonable for the proposed deliverables.	Budget can be more clear, complete and may not be entirely aligned with stated goals. The amount requested may not be reasonable for the proposed deliverables.	Budget is unclear, missing, or not reasonable for the proposed deliverables.	
Financial Need of the Organization	Organization has few other resources and funding sources to deliver programming and has demonstrated the financial need for grant funding.	Organization has some other resources and funding sources to deliver programming but still demonstrates the financial need for grant funding	Organization has some other resources and funding sources to deliver programming and financial need for grant funding is unclear	Does not demonstrate financial need for grant funds	

Evidence-based programming	Proposal is based on evidence-based programming and is grounded in sound evidence and data. Credible sources and best practices are cited to justify the program.	Evidence-based programming is grounded in sound evidence and data. Additional credible sources may be necessary.	Not all sources are credible and/or cited properly to justify the program.	Proposal is not based on evidence-based programming	
Program Sustainability	Organization has a clear plan for sustaining projects after BCHD grant funding has elapsed. There is realistic potential to sustain projects on a continuing basis.	Organization has a plan for sustaining projects after BCHD grant funding has elapsed. The plan to sustain projects on a continuing basis can be more clear.	Organization needs to improve plan for sustaining projects after BCHD grant funding has elapsed. Potential to sustain projects on a continuing basis is unclear.	Program is not sustainable or there is no demonstrated plan or consideration for program sustainability	
TOTAL					

Note: A score of “0” in any category deems the application ineligible of funding. The rubric is a tool to help raters review applications. Regardless of score, it is at the discretion of the grants Committee to award funding to a proposal after it has been evaluated.